



Effect of Multifuel Approach on SOFC System Performance and Architecture Requirements

Raphael Neubauer*^a, Péter Sztrinko^a, Mathias Innerkofler^a, Bernd Reiter^a

* corresponding author: raphael.neubauer@avl.com

^a AVL List GmbH, Hans-List-Platz 1, 8020 Graz/Austria

Keywords: ammonia, hydrogen, marine application, solid oxide fuel cell

Abstract

Introduction: Solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC) systems have the advantage to utilize different types of fuels including natural gas, liquified petroleum gas, ammonia (NH₃), methanol (CH₃OH) and pure hydrogen (H₂). However, this often-cited fuel flexibility leads to complex system behaviors which need to be better understood with the help of extensive simulation and testing data.

Objectives: Within the FuelSOME project, one and the same system shall be operated with different types of fuels including NH₃, CH₃OH, and H₂. The first objective of this work is to setup a comprehensive SOFC system model for all three types of fuels. The second objective is to use the model to better understand and steer the SOFC development into the right direction in terms of multi fuel applications for example in the maritime sector.

Material and methods: System understanding is the key for developing a SOFC system which shall be operated with different types of fuels. AVL has developed a 0-D system and stack analysis tool in recent years in order to develop optimized SOFC systems. This tool is based on a fully automatized parameter study including a generic architecture. The generic architecture includes the stack and main BoPs such as reformer, oxidation catalyst/off-gas burner and all kind of fuel recirculation approaches. This is mandatory for system understanding and optimization [1]. This tool allows to get a very deep and comprehensive system understanding by building empirical models for more than 100 key performance indicators (e.g. system efficiency, cell voltage, etc.) based on several thousand stationary simulation results. The stack model used in this study was calibrated with test data from an anode supported SOFC stack. All system efficiencies within this work are defined as electric AC net efficiencies at beginning of life, based on the lower heating value.

Results: The investigations are done in an analytical way to better understand the effect of different parameters. In doing so, the system electric was optimized by considering additional typical stack specification (e.g. max. stack air outlet temperature, max. stack single pass fuel utilization, etc.). In case of a NH₃ fueled system both effects of NH₃ concentration at stack inlet and H₂O discharge rate in the recirculation path was investigated compared to the base



case defined by a NH₃ inlet concentration of 0 vol.% and a H₂O discharge rate of 0 %. The analysis is done at 3075 W DC stack power output.

The results for NH₃ show that the system electric net efficiency increases by 2.8 % points when the NH₃ inlet concentration was increased to 30 vol.%. In case of 30 % H₂O discharge and 0 vol.% NH₃, the system electric net efficiency increased by 3.9 % points. The increase of efficiency in both cases has different origins. In case of NH₃ increase at stack inlet, the cell voltage stays at the same level, however, the internal endothermic cracking of NH₃ significantly reduces the required air flow for cooling of the stack, and thus the air blower power consumption. For the case of 30 % H₂O discharge, the air flow is only slightly reduced but the cell voltage increases significantly because of the reduced H₂O concentration inside the stack and thus higher H₂ partial pressure inside the stack. With further increase of the H₂O discharge to 90 % the efficiency reached 60.8 % with an NH₃ concentration of 0 vol.% at stack inlet. For these results no lower limit on the stack air flow rate was considered.

Similar investigations have been carried out for a SOFC system fueled with H₂ and CH₃OH, where a lower stack air flow limit of 12000 NI/h was considered. In case of CH₃OH no H₂O discharge in the recirculation path was considered because the H₂O is used in the pre-reformer of the system. The analysis of the models showed that the system electric net efficiency of CH₃OH, NH₃, and H₂ is similar for all three types of fuels up to 2400 W DC stack power (around 78 % load). However, only the efficiency of NH₃ increases further to 65.1 % at 3075 W of DC stack power (around 100 % load). This is significantly higher than the 55.6 and 57.2 % for CH₃OH and H₂, respectively. The stack air flow rate provides one of the explanations for the different efficiencies. Only for H₂, the low air flow limit is not a limiting factor at 3075 W. Higher electric efficiency for NH₃ and CH₃OH could be achieved by lowering the lower stack air flow limit. However, that would lead to a further mismatch of flow rates on the air path in the system for the three different types of fuels.

The analysis of the stack fuel flow rate at optimized operating conditions show that at 3075 W DC stack power the flow rates for the three types of fuels are between 2464 and 3762 NI/h. That is an interesting result and shows that for full load operation the optimized operation points are quite similar in terms of fuel flow rate at stack inlet.

Conclusions: The overall analysis of the system models show that running a SOFC system with CH₃OH and NH₃ can lead to very high system efficiencies when optimizing the stack for low air flows rate. However, H₂O discharge for NH₃ is important to achieve electric net efficiencies >60 %. The same is true for H₂ fueled system, however, other parameters must be optimized to also achieve an electric net efficiency >60 %. Overall, a multi fuel SOFC system has several challenges in terms of optimized operating conditions beside material compatibility and cost optimization and the tool developed by AVL and presented in this abstract is an innovative approach to help to solve the above-mentioned challenges.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank the European Union for received financial support in the course of the FuelSOME project.

References

[1] Kwang Ho Lee, Richard K. Strand, SOFC cogeneration system for building applications, part 1: Development of SOFC system-level model and the parametric study. *Renewable Energy*, 34 (2009), 2831-2838